

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the office action dated March 27, 2006, claims 8 and 21 have been cancelled without prejudice, claims 1, 9, 14, and 22 have been amended, and claims 2-7, 10-13, 15-20, and 23-26 remain unchanged. Claims 1-7, 9-20, and 22-26 remain pending in this application.

Allowable subject matter

Applicants acknowledge the allowability of claims 8,9,21, and 22 once amended to overcome to be rewritten in independent form. Applicants have amended independent claims 1 and 14 to include the limitations of allowable claims 8 and 21, thereby causing claims 1 and 14 and their associated dependent claims to be in allowable form. Applicants thank the examiner for allowing the claims now pending in the application as herein amended.

Rejection of Claims 1, 5-7, 14, and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906):

The rejection of Claims 1, 5-7, 14, and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906) is moot in view of the amendments to independent claims 1 and 14 to include allowable subject matter.

Rejection of Claims 2 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906) in view of Sampsell et al (US patent no. 5,037,173):

The rejection of Claims 2 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906) in view of Sampsell et al (US patent no. 5,037,173) is moot in view of the amendments to independent claims 1 and 14 to include allowable subject matter from which claims 2 and 15 depend.

Rejection of Claims 3 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906) in view of Steinberg et al (US publication no. 2002/0089720):

The rejection of Claims 3 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906) in view of Steinberg et al (US publication no. 2002/0089720) is moot in view of the amendments to independent claims 1 and 14 to include allowable subject matter from which claims 3 and 16 depend.

Rejection of Claims 4,10-13,17, and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906) in view of Lang et al (US patent no. 5,325,224):

The rejection of Claims 4,10-13,17, and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumitsu (US patent no. 5, 227, 906) in view of Lang et al (US patent no. 5,325,224) is moot in view of the amendments to independent claims 1 and 14 to include allowable subject matter from which claims 4,10-13,17, and 23-26 depend.

For the foregoing reasons, applicants respectfully request that the above rejections be withdrawn.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc, with any fees which may be required in the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

June 19, 2006

Motorola, Inc.
8000 West Sunrise Boulevard
Law Department – MD1610
Plantation, Florida 33322
Customer Number: 24273

By: /Randi L. Karpinia/
Randi L. Karpinia
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 46,148
Tel: 954-723-6449
Fax: 954-723-3871
E-Mail: docketing.florida@motorola.com